

**From:** Smith, James M.  
**Sent:** Monday, August 16, 2010 7:41 AM  
**To:** IRRC  
**Subject:** FW: Animal Cruelty

RECEIVED  
IRRC

2010 AUG 16 A 10:31

#2785

---

**From:** legalsecthorn@aol.com [mailto:legalsecthorn@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, August 15, 2010 2:37 PM  
**To:** gtuma@state.pa.us; stcrawford@state.pa.us; rredding@state.pa.us; dduer@state.pa.us; jlsmith@state.pa.us; suestwest@state.pa.us; dkennedy@state.pa.us; skniley@state.pa.us; nwyatt@state.pa.us; ashriver@state.pa.us; sbattisti@state.pa.us; scampbell@state.pa.us; lsimmens@state.pa.us; ckopp@state.pa.us; Smith, James M.  
**Cc:** charlie434@hotmail.com  
**Subject:** Animal Cruelty

After reading an opinion in the Times Tribune I followed the web site ([www.animallawcoalition.com](http://www.animallawcoalition.com)) to see exactly what they were talking about. Until recently I knew very little about puppy mills and I believe there are a lot of people out there like me. I am not sure if Act 119 was passed out of compassion or to placate animal rights groups but I am sure greed is driving the regulations now being pushed through. It makes you wonder what other laws are being passed with no intention of being enforced only to be changed once the spotlight is off. Maybe we should all be taking a closer look. Before the next election know who and what you are really voting for.